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ABSTRACT

Transient performance anomalies when accessing websites can be hard to replicate and make it difficult to detect and remedy their root causes. We propose a tool which passively monitors browser behavior and network traffic, while actively probing the network when detecting performance anomalies, and determining their root causes.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

C.2.3 [Network Operations]: Network Monitoring; C.4 [Performance of Systems]: Measurement techniques, Performance attributes
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1. INTRODUCTION

Detecting and diagnosing performance problems via measurements from a client perspective has been studied for a long time and a variety of tools has been proposed to help explain why some users observe slow web access. For example, Fathom [2] provides an API accessible by web page providers to take measurements when loading a page, whereas Netalyzr [4] analyses the network performance in general and is particularly useful in situations where a client observes persistent connectivity issues. Web pages have become increasingly complex and often require fetching content from many sources [1]. This makes it more challenging to establish which resource(s) are responsible for the degraded performance. In addition, users often observe bad performance sporadically. A web page might normally display after a very short delay, and only occasionally takes several seconds before the rendering process starts. A possible reason for this could be a costly recovery from a TCP tail packet drop when fetching a resource which has many dependents. We also observed cases where a web site request is pending indefinitely, yet fetching the content finishes quickly after refreshing the page. Thus, we propose a tool which:

- passively monitors browser behavior as well as network traffic,
- actively probes the network when an anomaly has been detected, and
- classifies the anomaly and determines root causes based on the collected data and feature-cause mappings.

The user benefits from such a tool by getting educated about the factors causing slow web page access. In addition, even though events like this might be rare for a single user, the aggregate frequency can be large enough for a content provider to have an incentive to address the problem. Consequently, a better understanding of the root causes is useful to the content providers as well.

2. COMPARISON TO OTHER WORK

It is challenging to reproduce transient performance anomalies, yet we want to understand their underlying causes in order to prevent them in the future. Due to their transient nature, existing measurement tools are insufficient for this task. Client-side frameworks like Fathom or Netalyzr, either provide a general performance overview, i.e. they only inspect the “average” performance thus ignoring anomalies which only show up in a small fraction of data points, or require a significant amount of overhead to guarantee that anomalies can be detected (which might be associated with any of the fetched resources). Other tools like Mirage [6], a headless web client to analyze page load times, or BISmark [5], a platform instrumenting home routers for measurements, are hard to deploy and focus on detecting widespread performance anomalies.

Conversely, server-side mechanisms have only partial visibility into the application flow at the client since they only observe traffic to and from the client passing through the measurement node. As a result some performance anomalies remain hidden. For example, a content provider cannot observe unsuccessful connection attempts made by the client, or recognize interference caused by other applications running on the client machine.

Our solution complements existing frameworks and is tailored for the detection and analysis of transient performance anomalies.

3. HANDLING ANOMALIES

In Figure 1 we show the architecture of our tool, which consists of two components: a data collection and a data...
First, we annotate TCP connections and packets, accessible by running tcpdump in the background. When the analysis is triggered, either explicitly by the user or through predefined timer events (e.g., long request/response delay), we preprocess all available data. For the active tab, i.e., the tab where we expect to observe a performance anomaly, we keep all recorded data and associate resources requested by the browser with the TCP connections and packets responsible for fetching the data. In addition, we determine the hosts from which the resources were requested and issue traceroutes and pings to them. These data points are particularly useful to detect routing anomalies. Since we only issue the active measurements when the analysis stage is triggered, we avoid the unnecessary overhead of executing them during normal operation when network performance is satisfactory. We can further reduce the number of active probes by focusing on resources critical for the page load process. As such we can incorporate a selector avoiding data collection for resources like advertisements, popups, small icons, etc. For all other (passive) tabs and applications we compress the available data into aggregated statistics and annotations to anomaly types. Exemplary mappings are shown in Table 1. We partially derived this initial set from a manual inspection of anomaly indicators observed in an earlier study [3] and ground truth about the underlying cause. Finally, we generate a report for the user explaining the detected anomalies. This helps non-experts to gain a better understanding of network dynamics and their impact on performance. Expert users can use the information to pinpoint performance issues and possibly develop solutions to avoid them in the future. Optionally, the user can export the report and the raw data (in anonymized form), which can then be forwarded to the resource provider similar to a bug report.

### Extending the Rule Set

To continuously improve the analysis stage we aim to extend the rule set through a feedback loop. We achieve this through a component which lets the user report data associated with unclassified anomalies to a centralized cloud platform. In a semi-automatic way, we then cluster the data collected from multiple users based on common features and identify new mappings between features and anomaly types.

#### 4. CONCLUSION AND NEXT STEPS

We propose a tool to detect transient performance anomalies at the client side and determine their root causes. It supplements existing frameworks which primarily focus on persistent anomaly detection, as well as active measurements as the primary data source. We are currently working on an implementation of our solution in the Chrome browser and we believe that providing explanations for slow web page accesses experienced by users is a sufficient incentive to generate a solid initial customer base for the tool. Finally we note that we plan to investigate an extension of our framework to support deployment on mobile devices as well.
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